IF/UFRJ Statistical Mechanics - 2025/1 – Raimundo

Problem Set #9 – Phase Transitions, Part 1

2/6/2025

1. The spin-S Heisenberg model is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j - \mu \sum_i \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i, \tag{1}$$

where μ is the magnetic moment, **H** is an applied external field, and the sums extend over sites of a *d*-dimensional lattice, but $\langle ij \rangle$ restricts the sum over nearest neighbour pairs. We consider the Weiss approximation for this model.

(a) Show that the critical temperature for magnetism is given by

$$k_{\rm B}T_c = \frac{S(S+1)}{3} \,\frac{\mu z J}{2},\tag{2}$$

where z is the coordination number of the lattice (i.e. the number of nearest neighbours of any site). Compare your result with that for the Ising model (spin-S) and comment physically.

- (b) Show that the magnetisation satisfies a law of corresponding states when expressed in terms of reduced field, \tilde{H} , and temperature, \tilde{T} .
- (c) Obtain the critical exponent for the magnetisation, $M \sim (T_c T)^{\beta}$. Does it depend on S? Does it depend on z? How does it compare with that for the Ising model, also within the Weiss approximation?
- 2. The simplest example of a quantum critical point (i.e., a critical point at zero temperature) is provided by systems described by the Ising model in a transverse field, Γ, whose Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z - \Gamma \sum_i \sigma_i^x \,, \tag{1}$$

where the σ 's are Pauli matrices, and the first sum extends to pairs of nearest neighbour sites of a *d*-dimensional lattice with coordination number *z*.



Figure 1: Problem 2 – Weiss theory for the transverse Ising model.



Figure 2: Problem 4 – A typical phase diagram showing a tricritical point (TCP); T is the temperature and g is a generic coupling driving the system between second- $[T_c(g)]$ and first-order $[T_0(g)]$ transitions.

- (a) By invoking solely physical arguments,
 - (i) discuss the nature of the ground state of this system in the limits $\Gamma \ll J$ and $\Gamma \gg J$;
 - (ii) sketch the expected behaviour of the spontaneous magnetisation, $\langle \sigma^z \rangle$, as a function of T, for fixed Γ . Compare with what you would expect for $\langle \sigma^z \rangle(T)$ when $\Gamma = 0$.
 - (iii) make a sketch of the expected behaviour of the critical temperature as a function of Γ .

In what follows we will highlight how these features can be quantitatively obtained within the Weiss approximation.

(b) We define an effective Weiss Hamiltonian as

$$\mathcal{H}_W = -\sum_i \ oldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot oldsymbol{\sigma}_i,$$

in which the mean field acting on each spin is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \Gamma \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{zJ}{2} \langle \sigma^z \rangle \; \hat{\mathbf{z}};$$

see Fig. 1. Taking $\hat{\mathbf{z}}' \parallel \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ as the new direction of quantisation (see Fig. 1), show that

$$\langle \sigma^{z'} \rangle = \tanh \beta \gamma,$$

where $\gamma \equiv |\boldsymbol{\gamma}|$.

(c) The Weiss field, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, makes an angle θ with the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ direction, such that $\theta = 0$ when $\Gamma = 0$, or $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (1/2)zJ\langle\sigma^z\rangle\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, and $\theta = \pi/2$ when $\langle\sigma^z\rangle = 0$, or $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \Gamma\hat{\mathbf{x}}$; see Fig. 1. Show that γ satisfies a self-consistency condition,

$$\frac{\gamma}{\tanh\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}zJ.$$

(d) The phase transition is signalled by $\langle \sigma^z \rangle \simeq 0$. Show that in this case the critical curve is given by

$$\tanh \frac{\Gamma}{k_{\rm B}T_c} = \frac{2\Gamma}{zJ}$$

and make a sketch of $\tau_c \equiv (2k_{\rm B}T_c/zJ)$ as a function of $g = 2\Gamma/zJ$. Check if your results match the qualitative prediction made in (a)(iii).

3. Consider the following expansion for the free energy in terms of the order parameter ϕ , for a magnetic system at zero field.

$$A(T,\phi) = A_0(T) + \alpha_2(T) \phi^2 - \alpha_4(T) \phi^4 + \alpha_6(T) \phi^6,$$

with $\alpha_4(T) > 0$. Suppose that near T_c , the coefficient of the term ϕ^6 can be written as

$$\alpha_6(T) = \frac{\alpha_4^2(T)}{3\alpha_2(T)} \ (1+\varepsilon), \ \varepsilon \equiv \frac{T-T_c}{T_c}$$

- (a) Discuss the solutions for ϕ for both $T \to T_c^{\pm}$ (i.e. approaching T_c from temperatures above or below).
- (b) Discuss the stability of the solutions for ϕ .
- (c) Make sketches of the free energy for T above and below T_c . Comment on the order of the phase transition.
- 4. In a phase diagram, the first- and second-order critical lines smoothly meet at the so-called *tricritical point*, characterised by, say a temperature T_t ; see Fig. 2. Within Landau's theory this point is characterised by imposing that the fourth order term in the free energy expansion vanishes identically: $\alpha_4 \equiv 0$. Let us consider a magnetic system and define $\varepsilon \equiv (T - T_t)/T_t$. Calculate the tricritical exponents within Landau theory for the following quantities:
 - (a) the magnetisation, $M \sim |\varepsilon|^{\beta_t}$;
 - (b) the susceptibility, $\chi_T \sim |\varepsilon|^{-\gamma_t}$;
 - (c) the critical isotherm, $M \sim H^{1/\delta_t}$; and

- (d) the specific heat, $\Delta C_H \sim |\varepsilon|^{-\alpha_t}$.
- (e) Optional. Very simple, but you'd need to read §§ 8.5 and 8.6 beforehand. Obtain the upper critical dimension for tricritical phenomena, given that the exponents describing correlations are the same as those for the usual Landau theory, namely $\nu_{\rm t} = 1/2$ and $\eta_{\rm t} = 0$.